

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/994,948	Applicant(s) MILOVANOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth Tang	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Appeal

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(3) _____.

(2) Carlton H. Hoel (Reg. No. 29,934).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2006

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-5

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MENG-AL T. AN
 SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNICAL CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment. The content of dependent claim 4 was found to contain allowable subject matter. Dependent claim 4 was cancelled and the content was moved to independent claim 1 to make the case allowable. Minor amendments were also made to conform with 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph problems and to overcome minor claim informalities..